

Assertive Community Treatment & Supported Employment Fidelity Reviews 2017 Summary Report

April 2017

New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services

Division for Behavioral Health

Bureau of Mental Health Services

April 14, 2017

Acronyms Used in this Report

ACT: Assertive Community Treatment
BMHS: Bureau of Mental Health Services
CMHA: Community Mental Health Agreement
CMHC: Community Mental Health Center

DHHS: Department of Health and Human Services

QSR: Quality Services Review SE: Supported Employment

SFY: State Fiscal Year

Introduction

This Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) and Supported Employment (SE) Fidelity Review Summary Report releases the State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2017 Fidelity Review scores for New Hampshire's ten (10) Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC), and the Bureau of Mental Health Services (BMHS) analysis of statewide and CMHC-specific fidelity to the Evidence-Based Models (EBM) for ACT and SE.

The ACT and SE Fidelity Reviews for SFY 2017 were conducted by either DHHS, through a team of DHHS staff with expertise in the programs or in conducting Quality Service Reviews, or by the CMHC, as a self-assessment utilizing CMHC staff with expertise in the programs. Table 1 indicates which team conducted each Fidelity Review:

Table 1

Community Mental Health Center	ACT	SE
Northern Human Services	DHHS	DHHS
West Central Behavioral Health	DHHS	CMHC
Genesis Behavioral Health	DHHS	CMHC
Riverbend Community Mental Health	CMHC	DHHS
Monadnock Family Services	CMHC	CMHC
Greater Nashua Mental Health Center	CMHC	DHHS
Mental Health Ctr. of Greater Manchester	CMHC	DHHS
Seacoast Mental Health Center	CMHC ¹	CMHC ²
Community Partners of Strafford County	CMHC	DHHS
Center for Life Management	CMHC	CMHC

The Fidelity Review is a manualized process described in published toolkits. It includes conducting the assessment, a bi-directional review of the assessment scores wherein both DHHS, through BMHS, and the applicable CMHC share feedback, and recommendations for each criterion are developed and agreed upon. Based on the Fidelity Review, improvement plans are developed, setting the path forward for the coming year to improve fidelity at each CMHC. In order to improve areas of the practices, CMHCs may utilize technical assistance, additional training and participation in learning collaboratives. DHHS and CMHCs follow up on progress being made throughout the year.

At the conclusion of the SFY 2017 Fidelity Reviews, BMHS analyzed the results and developed this Summary Report that evaluates quality across the state. Beginning in State Fiscal Year 2018 – once a full cycle (10 CMHCs) of baseline data is available³ from Quality Service Reviews (QSRs) – the Fidelity Review process will conclude with a summary report that incorporates statewide, system level findings from the QSR cycle –ensuring a fully comprehensive analysis supports program improvement for subsequent years.

¹ Seacoast Mental Health Center chose to have an independent consultant conduct its ACT self-assessment.

² Seacoast Mental Health Center chose to have an independent consultant conduct its SE self-assessment.

³ QSRs were piloted in SFY2017. The pilot QSRs will not be used to create the baseline data necessary for this purpose; only the QSRs that use the finalized QSR process and tools wil contribute to the baseline data.

1. Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)

The EBM for ACT includes the Fidelity Review tool⁴ that was utilized for the SFY 2017 Fidelity Review process. The tool assesses ACT Fidelity, including the ACT Team Components described in Section V.D.2. (a) through (g) of the Community Mental Health Agreement, which are briefly described below:

- Availability 24 hours per day, 7 days per week with on-call availability midnight to 8:00 a.m.:
- Comprehensive and individualized service delivery in consumer homes, natural environments, and community settings, or by telephone where appropriate;
- Appropriate ACT team composition multidisciplinary team of between 7 and 10 professionals;
- Each ACT team serves appropriate number of consumers no more than 10 consumers per ACT team member:
- Service delivery able to de-escalate crises without removing consumer from home or community program, consistent with safety concerns; and
- ACT teams work with law enforcement personnel to respond to consumers experiencing a mental health crisis.

The ACT Fidelity Review tool measures ACT Fidelity across three areas:

- Human Resources: Structure and Composition 11 criterion assess ACT team staffing, caseload size, program size, etc.;
- Organizational Boundaries 7 criterion assess admission criteria, intake rates, responsibility for treatment services, crisis services, hospitalization and discharge planning, etc.; and
- Nature of Services 10 criterion assess community-based services, engagement mechanisms, intensity of service, informal support system, Substance Use Disorders, cooccurring disorders, dual disorders, etc.

In whole, 28 criterion are measured against five (5) possible ratings/anchors, for a maximum total score potential of 140. Table 2 (pg 5) provides the SFY 2017 scoring results for every CMHC.

⁴ See Appendix 1—ACT Fidelity Review Tool

ACT Fidelity Scale State Fiscal Year 2017 Review	NHS	WCBH	GBH	RCMH	MFS	GNMHC	мно	CGM	SMHC	СР	CLM	Mean Score
		***	777	TX7	V	X7T	V	11	37777	TV	X	
Region Type of Review (DHHS or	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	V	11	VIII	IX	A	
CMHC conducted)	DHHS	DHHS	DHHS	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	CMHC	
Human Resources												
H1 - Small Caseload	4.7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.88
H2 - Team Approach	3.3	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4.39
H3 - Program Meeting	3.7	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4.15
H4 - Practicing ACT Leader	4.7	4	4	3	5	5	3	3	3	2	4	3.70
H5 - Staff Continuity	4.3	3	4	5	5	4	3	4	3	1	3	3.57
H6 - Staff Capacity	4.7	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	3.88
H7 - Psychiatry	3.7	3	4	2	4	3	3	3	5	4	2	3.34
H8 - Nursing	2.3	4	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	5	2.75
H9 - Substance Abuse	2.7	2	1	3	5	5	5	5	1	4	5	3.52
H10 - Vocational (SE)	3	2	2	2	3	5	4	3	5	4	2	3.18
H11 - Program Size	2	3	4	4	3	5	5	5	4	5	4	4.00
Organizational Boundaries												
O1 - Admission Criteria	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4.73
O2 - Intake Rate	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.91
O3 - Service Responsibility	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4.64
O4 - Crisis Responsibility	2	2	4	2	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	3.91
O5 - Hospital Admits	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	4.55
O6 - Hospital Discharges	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4.82
O7 - Time Unlimited Svcs	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4.64
Nature of Services												
S1 - Community-Based Svcs	4.7	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4.61
S2 - No Dropout Policy	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4.82
S3 - Assertive Engagement	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4.82
S4 - Intensity of Svcs	2.7	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	5	3	4.06
S5 - Frequent Contact	2.3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	2	5	3	3.94
S6 - Work with Support Sys	4	3	3	3	4	2	5	5	2	4	2	3.36
S7 - Ind Substance Tx	4	4	2	2	4	3	5	4	1	3	4	3.27
S8 - Co-Occurring Group	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1.36
S9 - Dual Disorder Model	3.7	5	2	3	5	4	5	5	2	5	4	3.97
S10 - Consumer on Team	2	2	5	3	3	1	4	1	5	5	4	3.18
Total	105.3	109	107	104	118	115	122	116	98	115	111	110.94

Notes:
The Bureau of Mental Health Services hired a contractor to do the ACT Fidelity Review Summary.

Items that were rated low (1 or 2) are highlighted in yellow.

Items that were rated fair (3) are highlighted in blue.

Fidelity items with mean scores in red text may be targeted for potential quality improvement activities at the system level.

Score Guide:

28 items, each with a score possible of up to 5, for a total possible score of 140 points.

Total scores result in the following ratings:

84 and below = Not ACT

85 - 112 = Fair Implementation

113 - 140 = Full Implementation

ACT Fidelity Review Summary

Based on scores from the SFY 2017 ACT Fidelity Review, half of New Hampshire's Community Mental Health Centers were rated as "Full Implementation," and half were rated as "Fair Implementation." The provision of integrated treatment of co-occurring substance use disorders was a major area in need of improvement across many centers. The role of the team leader and working with the consumer's support system were two additional significant areas in need of improvement at many centers. Other areas for quality improvement include adequate staffing for the roles of peers, supported employment specialists, psychiatrists and nurses on ACT teams.

BMHS ACT Program Improvement Plan

DHHS will work to improve quality by:

- 1) Providing bi-monthly technical assistance (or monthly if requested) at centers with Fair Implementation fidelity scores to:
 - a. Help teams identify and implement steps towards improvement;
 - b. Help teams organize and deliver their co-occurring substance abuse services; and
 - c. Help teams organize their team meeting and team activity scheduling.
- 2) Providing trainings for all CMHCs focused on:
 - a. Skills and strategies for substance abuse services 5 half-day modules for staff who
 are identified as substance abuse experts, and ongoing supervision for addiction
 services skills;
 - b. Overall ACT skills refresher for ACT specialists; and
 - c. ACT Summit 2-day training to assist CMHCs with the sustaining and improvement of ACT services. Training objectives include:
 - i. To increase knowledge of target audiences for ACT services;
 - ii. To enhance understanding of the ACT philosophy, values and practice principles;
 - iii. To increase knowledge of engagement strategies for ACT;
 - iv. To improve knowledge about effective strategies for ACT outreach;
 - v. To develop strategies for improving ACT team retention;
 - vi. To understand the role of Specialty and Generalist services in ACT; and
 - vii. To develop a working understanding of the ACT fidelity scale for quality improvement.
- 3) Supporting the development of an ACT learning collaborative with:
 - a. Data reports; and
 - b. Expert technical assistance.
- 4) Ongoing exploration of additional funding resources and supports for workforce development.

2. Supported Employment (SE)

The EBM for SE includes the Fidelity Review tool⁵ that was utilized for the SFY 2017 Fidelity Review process. The tool assesses SE Fidelity, including the SE provisions described in Section V.F.1. of the Community Mental Health Agreement, which are briefly described below:

- Deliver Supported Employment services in accordance with the Dartmouth EBM;
- Provide individualized assistance in identifying, obtaining, and maintaining integrated, paid, competitive employment;
- Provide services in the appropriate amount, duration and intensity;
- Provide services including but not limited to job development, co-worker and peer supports, time management training, benefits counseling, job coaching, etc.

The SE Fidelity Review tool measures ACT Fidelity across three areas:

- Staffing: 3 items assess SE staffing and caseload size;
- Organization: 8 items assess integration of rehabilitation with mental health treatment, vocational rehabilitation, zero exclusion criteria, the SE team leader's role, and agency focus on competitive employment; and
- Services: 14 items assess work incentives, vocational assessment, job search and development, individualized follow-along supports, community-based services, team engagement and outreach, etc.

In whole, 25 items are rated; each item is rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (meaning not implemented) to 5 (meaning fully implemented), for a maximum potential score of 125. Table 3 (pg 8) provides the SFY 2017 scoring results for every CMHC.

⁵ See Appendix 2 – SE Fidelity Review Tool

SE Fidelity Scale State Fiscal Year 2017 Review	NHS	WCBH	GBH	RCMH	MFS	GNMHC	MHCGM	SMHC	CP	CLM	Mean Score
Region	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Score
Type of Review (DHHS or CMHC conducted)	DHHS	DHHS	СМНС	DHHS	СМНС	СМНС	DHHS	СМНС	СМНС	СМНС	
Staffing											
1. Caseload	4.5	4	5	4	3	4	4	5	1	4	3.85
2. SE Services staff	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.55
3. Voc generalists	5	5	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.55
Organization											
1. Integration of rehab w/MH tx	5	2	4.5	3	5	5	5	4	1	5	3.95
2. Integration rehab w/freq contact	5	5	4.5	4	4	5	4	4	1	4	4.05
3. Collab w/VR	2.5	3	5	3	3	3	4	5	1	5	3.45
4. Voc Unit	4.5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.35
5. SE Supervisor	4	3	5	3	4	2	5	4	1	5	3.60
6. Zero Exclusion	4.5	4	4	4	5	4	5	3	1	5	3.95
7. Competitive Employment	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	3	4	5	4.00
8. Exec Team Support	4	5	4	3	4	4	5	5	3	5	4.20
Services											
1. Work incentives planning	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	1	5	4.20
2. Disclosure	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.90
On-going work based assess	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4.40
4. Rapid job search	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	5	4.10
Individualized job search	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.40
6. Job development-employer contact	2.5	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	1	2	2.15
7. Job Development-quality contact	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	1	4	4.10
8. Diversity of jobs	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	4	4.40
9. Diversity of employers	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.80
10. Competitive jobs	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.70
11. Individualized follow along supports	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	1	5	4.30
12. Time unlimited follow-along	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.40
13. Community based services	4.5	3	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	4.10
14. Assertive engagement	1	4	5	3	5	4	5	5	1	5	3.80
Total Score:	103.50	101.00	112.00	103.00	113.00	108.00	118.00	109.00	47.00	118.00	103.25

Notes:

The Bureau of Mental Health Services hired a contractor to do the SE Fidelity Review Summary.

Items that were rated low (1 or 2) are highlighted in yellow.

Items that were rated fair (3) are highlighted in blue.

Fidelity items with mean scores in **red text** may be targeted for potential quality improvement activities at the system level.

Score Guide:

25 items, each with a score possible of up to 5, for a total possible score of 125 points.

Total scores result in the following ratings:

73 and below = Not Supported Employment

74 - 99 = Fair Fidelity

100 - 114 = Good Fidelity

115 - 125 = Exemplary Fidelity

SE Fidelity Review Summary

Based on scores from the SFY 2017 SE Fidelity reviews, most of New Hampshire's Community Mental Health Centers (9 of 10) were implementing Supported Employment with at least "good fidelity." One center scored poorly because their SE team staff left the agency, and the center had not yet successfully completed recruitment to hire staff to replace the team.

Analysis of individual scores indicated that contact with employers for job development was the single area where most centers needed significant improvement. Other potential areas for improvement, based on at least three centers scoring a 3 or lower, include: collaboration with Vocational Rehabilitation, integration of mental health and SE, variety of competitive employment jobs, community based services and assertive engagement.

BMHS SE Program Improvement Plan

BMHS will work to improve quality by:

- 1) Providing bi-monthly technical assistance (or monthly if requested) to:
 - a. Help the center that is restarting their SE program.
 - b. Help all other SE teams address individualized barriers as identified by the fidelity review or the team leader.
- 2) Providing trainings for all centers focused on:
 - a. Skills and strategies for job development engaging employers and engaging families.
 - b. Overall SE skills basic skills for SE specialists (delivered February 23rd and 28th, 2017).
 - c. Illness Management and Recovery (IMR) training that will help SE workers with basic mental health counseling skills.
- 3) Working with Vocational Rehabilitation leaders at the state level to facilitate SE services in the state by:
 - a. Facilitating interagency agreements.
 - b. Encouraging regional Vocational Rehabilitation to provide job development services.
- 4) Supporting the SE learning collaborative with:
 - a. Data reports.
 - b. Expert technical assistance.
- 5) Ongoing exploration of additional funding resources and supports for workforce development.

Schedule of State Fiscal Year 2017 Fidelity and Quality Services Review⁶

	Center for Life Management
	DHHS-conducted QSR
July 2016	Mental Health Center of Greater Manchester
Jr 20	DHHS-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Riverbend Community Mental Health
	DHHS-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
<u> 9</u>	West Central Behavioral Health
Aug. 2016	DHHS-conducted QSR
	C ' D I ' IV III
	Genesis Behavioral Health
Sep. 2016	DHHS-conducted QSR
S 2(Northern Human Services
	DHHS-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Center for Life Management
	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Self-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Community Partners of Strafford County
	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Genesis Behavioral Health
	DHHS-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment Self-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Greater Nashua Mental Health Center
	DHHS-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
H	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
October 2016	Mental Health Center of Greater Manchester
)ct	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Monadnock Family Services
	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Self-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Riverbend Community Mental Health
	Self-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Seacoast Mental Health Center
	Self-conducted ⁷ ACT Fidelity Assessment
	Self-conducted ⁸ SE Fidelity Assessment
	West Central Behavioral Health
	Self-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
	Community Partners of Strafford County
er	DHHS-conducted SE Fidelity Assessment
m 16	Monadnock Family Services
November 2016	DHHS-conducted QSR - POSTPONED
ž	Northern Human Services
	DHHS-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment
Dec.	
1 2	
	1

Mental Health Center of Greater Manchester DHHS-conducted QSR West Central Behavioral Health DHHS-conducted ACT Fidelity Assessment	January 2017
Seacoast Mental Health Center DHHS-conducted QSR	Feb. 2017
Greater Nashua Mental Health Center DHHS-conducted QSR	March 2017
Community Partners of Strafford County DHHS-conducted QSR	April 2017
Northern Human Services DHHS-conducted QSR	May 2017
Riverbend Community Mental Health DHHS-conducted QSR	June 2017

⁶ Schedule may be subject to change.
⁷ At its own discretion, Seacoast Mental Health Center utilized the services of an outside contractor to conduct its Self-Assessment.
⁸ At its own discretion, Seacoast Mental Health Center utilized the services of an outside contractor to conduct its Self-Assessment.

Appendix 1

The following pages contain the ACT Fidelity Review Tool used for SFY2017.

Community Mental Health Center (CMHC) Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) Fidelity Report October 2016

СМНС:		
Report Date:		
Review Date:		
Reviewers: (list all)		
considered an in promote and ass (CMHA). Executive Sum	ategral component to complement are sure fidelity to the model and complement	ent (ACT) services. The fidelity review is ad validate self-fidelity measures and is intended to tance with the Community Mental Health Agreement
This revie	w resulted in an Implementation rating of:	
Out of a possil	ble 140 points the Center scored:	

Method:
This review consisted of: (Describe how the Center conducted its review)

The ACT Fidelity Scale is divided into three sections, including: Human Resources – Structure and Composition; Organizational Boundaries; and Nature of Services. Each item to be scored (criterion) is rated on a 5-point response formation ranging from 1 to 5 with each criterion having a specific anchor assigned to each point within the 5-point range. The following tables (next 3 pages) specify the criterion and the associated ratings/anchors the CMHC must use in conducting its ACT Fidelity Self-Assessment.

		Ratings / Anchor	s			
Criterio	n	1	2	3	4	5
H1	Small caseload: Consumer/provider ratio = 10:1	50 consumers/team member or more	35 – 49	21 – 34	11 – 20	10 consumers/team member or fewer
H2	Team approach: Provider group functions as team rather than as individual ACT team members; ACT team members know and work with all consumers	Less than 10% consumers with multiple team face-to-face contacts in reporting 2-week period	10 – 36%	37 – 63%	64 – 89%	90% or more consumers have face-to-face contact with >1 staff member in 2 weeks
H3	Program meeting: Meets often to plan and review services for each consumer	Service-planning for each consumer usually 1x/month or less	At least 2x/month but less often than 1x/week	At least 1x/week but less than 2x/week	At least 2x/week but less than 4x/week	Meets at least 4 days/week and reviews each consumer each time, even if only briefly
H4	Practicing ACT leader: Supervisor of Frontline ACT team members provides direct services	Supervisor provides no services	Supervisor provides services on rare occasions as backup	Supervisor provides services routinely as backup or less than 25% of the time	Supervisor normally provides services between 25% and 50% time	Supervisor provides services at least 50% time
H5	Continuity of staffing: Keeps same staffing over time	Greater than 80% turnover in 2 years	60 – 80% turnover in 2 years	40 – 59% turnover in 2 years	20 – 39% turnover in 2 years	Less than 20% turnover in 2 years
H6	Staff capacity: Operates at full staffing	Operated at less than 50% staffing in past 12 months	50 – 64%	65 – 79%	80 – 94%	Operated at 95% or more of full staffing in past 12 months
H7	Psychiatrist on team: At least 1 full-time psychiatrist for 100 consumers works with program	Less than .10 FTE regular psychiatrist for 100 consumers	.10 – .39 FTE for 100 consumers	.40 – .69 FTE for 100 consumers	.70 – .99 FTE for 100 consumers	At least 1 full-time psychiatrist assigned directly to 100-consumer program
H8	Nurse on team: At least 2 full-time nurses assigned for a 100- consumer program	Less than .20 FTE regular nurse for 100 consumers	.20 – .79 FTE for 100 consumers	.80 – 1.39 FTE for 100 consumers	1.40 – 1.99 FTE for 100 consumers	2 full-time nurses or more are members for 100- consumer program
H9	Substance abuse specialist on team: A 100-consumer program with at least 2 staff members with 1 year of training or clinical experience in substance abuse treatment	Less than .20 FTE S/A expertise for 100 consumers	.20 – .79 FTE for 100 consumers	.80 – 1.39 FTE for 100 consumers	1.40 – 1.99 FTE for 100 consumers	2 FTEs or more with 1 year S/A training or supervised S/A experience
H10	Vocational specialist on team: At least 2 team members with 1 year training/experience in vocational rehabilitation and support	Less than .20 FTE vocational expertise for 100 consumers	.20 – .79 FTE for 100 consumers	.80 – 1.39 FTE for 100 consumers	1.40 – 1.99 FTE for 100 consumers	2 FTEs or more with 1 year voc. rehab. training or supervised VR experience
H11	Program size: Of sufficient absolute size to consistently provide necessary staffing diversity and coverage	Less than 2.5 FTE staff	2.5 – 4.9 FTE	5.0 – 7.4 FTE	7.5 – 9.9	At least 10 FTE staff

Orgar	nizational Boundaries					
		Ratings / Anchor	rs	1	1	1
Criterio	on	1	2	3	4	5
O1	Explicit admission criteria: Has clearly identified mission to serve a particular population. Has and uses measurable and operationally defined criteria to screen out inappropriate referrals.	Has no set criteria and takes all types of cases as determined outside the program	Has a generally defined mission but admission process dominated by organizational convenience	Tries to seek and select a defined set of consumers but accepts most referrals	Typically actively seeks and screens referrals carefully but occasionally bows to organizational pressure	Actively recruits a defined population and all cases comply with explicit admission criteria
O2	Intake rate: Takes consumers in at a low rate to maintain a stable service environment.	Highest monthly intake rate in the last 6 months = greater than 15 consumers/month	13 – 15	10 – 12	7 – 9	Highest monthly intake rate in the last 6 months no greater than 6 consumers/month
О3	Full responsibility for treatment services: In addition to case management, directly provides psychiatric services, counseling/ psychotherapy, housing support, substance abuse treatment, employment and rehabilitative services.	Provides no more than case management services	Provides 1 of 5 additional services and refers externally for others	Provides 2 of 5 additional services and refers externally for others	Provides 3 or 4 of 5 additional services and refers externally for others	Provides all 5 services to consumers
O4	Responsibility for crisis services: Has 24-hour responsibility for covering psychiatric crises.	Has no responsibility for handling crises after hours	Emergency service has program- generated protocol for program consumers	Is available by phone, mostly in consulting role	Provides emergency service backup; e.g., program is called, makes decision about need for direct program involvement	Provides 24-hour coverage
O5	Responsibility for hospital admissions: Is involved in hospital admissions.	Is involved in fewer than 5% decisions to hospitalize	ACT team is involved in 5% – 34% of admissions	ACT team is involved in 35% – 64% of admissions	ACT team is involved in 65% – 94% of admissions	ACT team is involved in 95% or more admissions
O6	Responsibility for hospital discharge planning: Is involved in planning for hospital discharges.	Is involved in fewer than 5% of hospital discharges	5% – 34% of program consumer discharges planned jointly with program	35% – 64% of program consumer discharges planned jointly with program	65 – 94% of program consumer discharges planned jointly with program	95% or more discharges planned jointly with program
07	Time-unlimited services (graduation rate): Rarely closes cases but remains the point of contact for all consumers as needed.	More than 90% of consumers are expected to be discharged within 1 year	From 38 – 90% of consumers expected to be discharged within 1 year	From 18 – 37% of consumers expected to be discharged within 1 year	From 5 – 17% of consumers expected to be discharged within 1 year	All consumers served on a time- unlimited basis, with fewer than 5% expected to graduate annually

. tatul	e of Services					
		Ratings / Anchor	rs T	Т	T	Г
Criterio	on	1	2	3	4	5
S1	Community-based services: Works to monitor status, develop community living skills in community rather than in office.	Less than 20% of face-to-face contacts in community	20 – 39%	40 – 59%	60 – 79%	80% of total face-to- face contacts in community
S2	No dropout policy: Retains high percentage of consumers.	Less than 50% of caseload retained over 12-month period	50 – 64%	65 – 79%	80 – 94%	95% or more of caseload is retained over a 12-month period
S3	Assertive engagement mechanisms: As part of ensuring engagement, uses street outreach and legal mechanisms (probation/parole, OP commitment) as indicated and as available.	Passive in recruitment and re-engagement; almost never uses street outreach legal mechanisms	Makes initial attempts to engage but generally focuses on most motivated consumers	Tries outreach and uses legal mechanisms only as convenient	Usually has plan for engagement and uses most mechanisms available	Demonstrates consistently well- thought-out strategies and uses street outreach and legal mechanisms whenever appropriate
S4	Intensity of service: High total amount of service time, as needed.	Average 15 minutes/ week or less of face-to- face contact for each consumer	15 – 49 minutes/ week	50 – 84 minutes/week	85 – 119 minutes/week	Average 2 hours/week or more of face-to-face contact for each consumer
S5	Frequency of contact: High number of service contacts, as needed.	Average less than 1 face-to-face contact/ week or fewer for each consumer	1 – 2x/week	2 – 3x/week	3 – 4x/week	Average 4 or more face-to-face contacts/week for each consumer
S6	Work with informal support system: With or without consumer present, provides support and skills for consumer's support network: family, landlords, employers.	Less than .5 contact/ month for each consumer with support system	.5 – 1 contact/ month for each consumer with support system in the community	1 – 2 contact/month for each consumer with support system in the community	2 – 3 contacts/month for consumer with support system in the community	4 or more contacts/month for each consumer with support system in the community
S7	Individualized substance abuse treatment: 1 or more team members provides direct treatment and substance abuse treatment for consumers with substance-use disorders.	No direct, individualized substance abuse treatment provided	Team variably addresses SA concerns with consumers; provides no formal, individualized SA treatment	While team integrates some substance abuse treatment into regular consumer contact, no formal, individualized SA treatment	Some formal individualized SA treatment offered; consumers with substance-use disorders spend less than 24 minutes/week in such treatment	Consumers with substance-use disorders average 24 minutes/week or more in formal substance abuse treatment
S8	Co-Occurring disorder treatment groups: Uses group modalities as treatment strategy for consumers with substance-use disorders.	Fewer than 5% of consumers with substance-use disorders attend at least 1 substance abuse treatment group meeting a month	5 – 19%	20 – 34%	35 – 49%	50% or more of consumers with substance-use disorders attend at least 1 substance abuse treatment group meeting/month
S9	Dual Disorders (DD) Model: Uses a non- confrontational, stage-wise treatment model, follows behavioral principles, considers interactions of mental illness and substance abuse, and has gradual expectations of abstinence.	Fully based on traditional model: confrontation; mandated abstinence; higher power, etc.	Uses primarily traditional model: e.g., refers to AA; uses inpatient detox & rehab; recognizes need to persuade consumers in denial or who don't fit AA	Uses mixed model: e.g., DD principles in treatment plans; refers consumers to persuasion groups; uses hospitalization for rehab.; refers to AA, NA	Uses primarily DD model: e.g., DD principles in treatment plans; persuasion and active treatment groups; rarely hospitalizes for rehab. Or detox except for medical necessity; refers out some SA treatment	Fully based in DD treatment principles, with treatment provided by ACT staff members
S10	Role of consumers on team: Consumers involved as team members providing direct services.	Consumers not involved in providing service	Consumers fill consumer-specific service roles (e.g., self-help)	Consumers work part-time in case- management roles with reduced responsibilities	Consumers work full-time in case management roles with reduced responsibilities	Consumers employed full-time as ACT team members (e.g., case managers) with full professional status

Areas of focus:

(Describe the areas of focus the Center wishes to prioritize for improvement in the coming year as a	
result of this review; include any recommendations for each area)	

ACT Fidelity Report:

<u>Human Resources: Structure and Composition</u>

H1 Small caseload: (Consumer/provider ratio = 10:1	Rating =	out of 5
Comments:		,	
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
IIO Toom on monochi		Doting -	out of F
	s as team rather than as individual ACT am members know and work with all	Rating =	_ out or s
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
H3 Program meeting:		Rating =	out of 5
	review services for each consumer		04.1 0.1 0
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
H4 Practicing ACT lease Supervisor of Frontline Asservices	ader: ACT team members provides direct	Rating =	_ out of 5
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
	-		

H5 Continuity of staffi	ing:	Rating =	out of 5
Keeps same staffing ove			
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Sources of information:			
Recommendations:			
H6 Staff capacity:		Rating =	out of 5
Operates at full staffing			
Comments:		1	
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
	<u> </u>		
H7 Psychiatrist on tea	ım:	Rating =	out of 5
At least 1 full-time psych program	iatrist for 100 consumers works with		
Comments:		1	
Sources of Information:			
Sources of information.			
Recommendations:			
H8 Nurse on team:		Rating =	out of 5
At least 2 full-time nurses	s assigned for a 100-consumer program		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			

H9 Substance abuse s	specialist on team:	Rating = out of 5
	n with at least 2 staff members with 1 lexperience in substance abuse	
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		
H10 Vegetional specie	alist on tooms	Rating = out of 5
H10 Vocational special At least 2 team members vocational rehabilitation	s with 1 year training/experience in	Kating = out or 3
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		
· · · · ·		
H11 Program size: Of sufficient absolute siz staffing diversity and cov	e to consistently provide necessary rerage	Rating = out of 5
Comments:		'
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		

Organizational Boundaries

O1 Explicit admission	criteria:	Rating =	out of 5
Has clearly identified mission to serve a particular population.			
Has and uses measurab			
screen out inappropriate			
Comments:			
Oommonts.			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
O2 Intake rate:		Rating =	out of 5
Takes consumers in at a environment.	low rate to maintain a stable service		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
O3 Full responsibility	for treatment services:	Rating =	out of 5
In addition to case mana	gement, directly provides psychiatric		
	ychotherapy, housing support, substance		
	ment and rehabilitative services.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
O4 Responsibility for	crisis services:	Rating =	out of 5
-	ty for covering psychiatric crises.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			

O5 Responsibility for	hospital admissions:	Rating = out of 5
Is involved in hospital ac	lmissions.	
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		
O6 Responsibility for	hospital discharge planning:	Rating = out of 5
Is involved in planning for	or hospital discharges.	
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		
O7 Time-unlimited se	rvices (graduation rate):	Rating = out of 5
Rarely closes cases but	remains the point of contact for all	
consumers as needed.		
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		

Nature of Services

S1 Community-based	services:	Rating =	out of 5
Works to monitor status,	develop community living skills in		
community rather than in	office.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
S2 No dropout policy:		Rating =	out of 5
Retains high percentage	of consumers.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
	L		
S3 Assertive engagen	nent mechanisms:	Rating =	out of 5
	gement, uses street outreach and legal parole, OP commitment) as indicated		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
S4 Intensity of service		Rating =	out of 5
High total amount of serv	vice time, as needed.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
S5 Frequency of conta		Rating =	out of 5
High number of service of	contacts, as needed.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			

S6 Work with informal	support system:	Rating =	out of 5
	r present, provides support and skills for		
	ork: family, landlords, employers.		
Comments:		<u> </u>	
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
C7 Individuality of out of	tonos alcues tractimont.	Dating -	out of E
S7 Individualized subs		Raung =	out of 5
	provides direct treatment and		
disorders.	ent for consumers with substance-use		
Comments:		•	
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
S8 Co-Occurring disor	der treatment groups:	Rating =	out of 5
	treatment strategy for consumers with		
substance-use disorders.			
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			
S9 Dual Disorders (DD		Rating =	out of 5
	al, stage-wise treatment model, follows		
behavioral principles, cor	nsiders interactions of mental illness and		
substance abuse, and ha	s gradual expectations of abstinence.		
Comments:			
Sources of Information:			
Recommendations:			

S10 Role of consumers on team:		Rating = out of 5
Consumers involved as t	team members providing direct services.	
Comments:		
Sources of Information:		
Recommendations:		

Human Resources: Structure and Composition				
#	Item	Assessor 1	Assessor 2	Consensus
H1.	Small Caseload			
H2.	Team Approach			
H3.	Program Meeting			
H4.	Practicing ACT Leader			
H5.	Continuity of Staffing			
H6.	Staff Capacity			
H7.	Psychiatrist on Team			
H8.	Nurse on Team			
H9.	Substance Abuse Specialist on Team			
H10.	Vocational Specialist on Team			
H11.	Program Size			
	Organizational Boundar	<u>ies</u>	•	
#	Item	Assessor 1	Assessor 2	Consensus
O1.	Explicit Admission Criteria			
O2.	Intake Rate			
O3.	Full Responsibility for Treatment Services			
O4.	Responsibility for Crisis Services			
O5.	Responsibility for Hospital Admission			
O6.	Responsibility for Hospital Discharge Planning			
O7.	Time-unlimited Services (Graduation Rate)			
	Nature of Services		-	•
#	Item	Assessor 1	Assessor 2	Consensus
S1.	Community Based Services			
S2.	No Dropout Policy			
S3.	Assertive Engagement Mechanisms			
S4.	Intensity of Services			
S5.	Frequency of Contact			
S6.	Work with Informal Support System			
S7.	Individualized Substance Abuse Treatment			
S8.	Co-occurring Disorder Treatment Group			
S9.	Dual Disorders (DD) Model			
S10.	Role of Consumers on Team			
	Total Mean Score			

Score Range	Implementation Rating
113 – 140	Good Implementation
85 – 112	Fair Implementation
84 and below	Not Assertive Community Treatment

Appendix 2

The following pages contain the SE Fidelity Review Tool used for SFY2017.

Community Mental Health Center (CMHC) Supported Employment Fidelity Report October 2016

СМНС:	
Report Date:	
Review Date:	
Reviewers: (list all)	
Overview:	
	ribes Individual Placement and Support/Supported Employment (IPS/SE) services. The
-	s considered an integral component to complement and validate self-fidelity measures
	to promote and assure fidelity to the Dartmouth IPS model and compliance with the
Community Me	ntal Health Agreement (CMHA).
Executive Sum	
(Enter brief sun	mary of review results)
This review	w resulted in a Fidelity rating of:
	ble 125 points the Center scored:

Method:
This review consisted of: (Describe how the Center conducted its review)

The Supported Employment Fidelity Scale is divided into three sections: including staffing, organization and services. Each item is rated on a 5-point response formation ranging from 1= no implementation to 5= full implementation with intermediate numbers representing progressively greater degrees of implementation. The following sections address the three areas based on the review.

Areas of focus: (Describe the ar

(Describe the areas of focus the Center wishes to prioritize for improvement in the coming year as a
result of this review; include any recommendations for each area)

IPS Supported Employment Fidelity Report:

Staffing

1. Caseload Size

T 1	1 1111 1 1 1 1	T	4 6 5
	have individual employment caseloads.	Rating =	out of 5
	for any full-time employment specialist		
is 20 or fewer clients.			
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
2. Vocational Services	Staff		
Employment specialists	provide only employment services.	Rating =	out of 5
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
3. Vocational General			
	alist carries out all phases of employment	Rating =	out of 5
	e, engagement, assessment, job		
	, and follow along supports before step employment support from another MH		
practitioner.	employment support from another Wiri		
Comments:			
Comments.			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			

Organization

1. Integration of rehabilitation with mental health treatment through team assignment.

Employment specialists are part of up to 2 mental health		Rating = out of 5
treatment teams from wh	ich at least 90% of the employment	
specialist's caseload is co	omprised.	
Comments:		
Sources of		
Information:		
Recommendations:		

2. Integration of rehabilitation with mental health treatment through frequent team contact.

Employ	ment specialists a	actively participate in weekly mental	Rating = out of 5		
health tr	reatment team meetings (not replaced by administrative				
meeting	neetings) that discuss individual clients and their employment				
goals wi	th shared decision	n-making. Employment specialist's			
office is	in close proximi	ty to (or shared with) their mental health			
treatmer	nt team members	Documentation of mental health			
treatmer	nt and employme	nt services are integrated in a single			
client ch	art. Employmen	t specialists help the team think about			
employr	nent for people v	who haven't yet been referred to			
	ed employment so	ervices.			
✓ if applicable	Employment sp	ecialist attends weekly mental health treat	ment team meetings.		
applicable ✓ if applicable	Employment sp	ecialist participates actively in treatment to	eam meetings with shared		
	decision-makin	<u> </u>			
✓ if applicable	Employment services documentation (i.e., vocational assessment/profile,				
		an, progress notes) is integrated into client	's mental health treatment		
✓ if	record.	ecialist's office is in close proximity to (or	chared with) his or her		
applicable	1 "	eatment team members.	shared with his of her		
✓ if		ecialist helps the team think about employ	ment for people who		
applicable		n referred to supported employment service			
	Comments:				
	~ .				
Sources of					
	Information:				
Recommendations:					

	3.	Collaboration	between employm	nent specialists and	Vocational Rehabilitation	n.
--	----	----------------------	-----------------	----------------------	---------------------------	----

Employment specialists and VR counselors have frequent contact		Rating = out of 3
for the purpose of discus	sing shared clients and identifying	
potential referrals.		
Comments:		
Sources of		
Information:		
Recommendations:		

4. Vocational Unit.

At least 2 full-time employment specialists and a team leader		Rating = out	t of 2
comprise the employment	t unit. They have weekly client-based		
group supervision based	on the supported employment model in		
which strategies are iden	tified and job leads are shared. They		
provide coverage for each other's caseload when needed.			
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			

5. Role of employment supervisor.

Support	ed employment unit is led by a supported employment	Rating = out of 3			
team lea	team leader. Employment specialists' skills are developed and				
improve	d through outcome-based supervision. All five key roles				
of the er	mployment supervisor are present.				
✓ if applicable	One full-time supervisor is responsible for no more than 1	0 employment specialists.			
иррисион	The supervisor does not have other supervisory responsible	ilities. (Program leaders			
	supervising fewer than 10 employment specialists may sp	end a percentage of time			
	on other supervisor activities on a prorated basis.)				
✓ if applicable	Supervisor conducts weekly supported employment supervision designed to review				
аррисане	client situations and identify new strategies and ideas to help clients in their work				
	lives.				
✓ if applicable	Supervisor communicates with mental health treatment team leaders to ensure that				
аррисане	services are integrated, to problem solve programmatic issues and to be a champion				
	for the value of work. Attends a meeting for each mental health treatment team on a				
	quarterly basis.				
✓ if applicable	Supervisor accompanies employment specialists who are new or having difficulty				

	_	pment, in the field monthly to improve ski	•
		iving feedback on skills, e.g., meeting emp	ployers for job
✓ if	development.		4
applicable	_	ews current client outcomes with employn re program performance at least quarterly.	ient specialists and sets
	Comments:	e program performance at least quarterry.	
	Comments.		
	Sources of		
	Information:		
Rec	commendations:		
(7 am		l.o	
o. Zero	o exclusion crite	па	
All clies	nts interested in v	vorking have access to supported	Rating = out of 5
employi	ment services reg	ardless of job readiness factors,	
substance	ce abuse, sympto	ms, history of violent behavior, cognition	
impairm	nents, treatment n	on-adherenece, and personal	
presenta	tion. These appl	y during supported employment	
services	, too. Employme	ent specialists offer to help with another	
job whe	n one has ended	regardless of the reason that the job	
ended o	r the number of j	obs held. If VR has screening criteria,	
the men	tal health agency	does not use them to exclude anybody.	
Clients	are not screened	out formally or informally.	
	Comments:		
	C		
	Sources of		
D	Information:		
Rec	commendations:		
7. Age	ncy focus on cor	npetitive employment.	
	=	hrough multiple strategies. Agency	Rating = out of 3
	-	s about interest in competitive	
		splays written postings (e.g., brochures,	
• .		about employment and supported	
± •		he focus should be with the agency	
programs that provide services to adults with severe mental			
illness. Agency supports ways for clients to share work stories			
with other clients and staff. Agency measures rate of competitive			

employment and shares this information with agency leaders and

staff.

✓ if applicable	Agency intake includes questions about interest in employment		
✓ if applicable	Agency includes questions about interest in employment on all annual (or semi-		
иррисине	annual) assessment or treatment plan reviews		
✓ if applicable	Agency displays written postings (e.g., brochures, bulletin boards, posters) about		
иррисине	working and supported employment services, in lobby and other waiting areas		
✓ if applicable	Agency supports ways for clients to share work stories with other clients and staff		
appricate	(e.g., agency-wide employment recognition events, in-service training, peer support		
	groups, agency newsletter articles, invited speakers at client treatment groups, etc.)		
	at least twice a year.		
✓ if applicable	Agency measures rate of competitive employment on at least a quarterly basis and		
shares outcomes with agency leadership and staff.			
	Comments:		
	Sources of		
	Information:		
Rec	ommendations:		

8. Executive Team Support for Supported Employment

Agency	executive team members (e.g., CEO/Executive Director,	Rating = out of 3			
Chief O	Chief Operating Officer, QA Director, Chief Financial Officer,				
Clinical	director, Medical Director, Human Resource Director)				
assist wi	th supported employment implementation and				
sustaina	bility. All five key components of executive team are				
present.					
✓ if applicable	Executive Director and Clinical Director demonstrate kno	wledge regarding the			
иррисиле	principles of evidence-based supported employment.				
✓ if applicable	Agency QA process includes an explicit review of the IPS	S SE program, or			
иррисине	components of the program, at least every 6 months throu	gh the use of the			
	Supported Employment Fidelity Scale, or until achieving high fidelity, and at least				
	yearly thereafter. Agency QA process uses the results of the fidelity assessment to				
	improve IPS SE implementation and sustainability.				
✓ if applicable	At least one member of the executive team actively participates at IPS SE				
иррисине	leadership team (steering committee) meetings that occur	at least every six months			
	for high fidelity programs and at least quarterly for programs that have not yet				
	achieved high fidelity. Steering committee is defined as a diverse group of				
	stakeholders charged with reviewing fidelity, program implementation, and the				
	service delivery system. Committee develops written action plans aimed at				
	developing or sustaining high fidelity services.				
✓ if applicable	The agency CEO/Executive Director communicates how	IPS SE services support			

	Г				
	the mission of the agency and articulates clear and specific goals for SE and/or				
	competitive employment to all agency staff during the first six months and at least				
	annually (i.e., SE kickoff, all-agency meetings, agency newsletters, etc.). This item				
	is not delegated to another administrator.				
✓ if applicable	SE program lea	der shares information about EBP barriers	and facilitators with the		
иррисине	executive team	(including the CEO) at least twice each ye	ear. The executive team		
	helps the progra	am leader identify and implement solution	s to barriers.		
	Comments:				
	Sources of				
	Information:				
Rec	commendations:				
		Services			
		<u>SEI VICES</u>			
1. Wor	k incentives pla	nning			
All clien	nts are offered ass	sistance in obtaining comprehensive	Rating = out of 5		
individu	alized work ince	ntives planning (benefits planning)			
before s	tarting a new job	and assistance accessing work			
incentiv	incentives planning thereafter when making decisions about				
changes	changes in work hours and pay. Work incentives planning				
_		nedical benefits, medication subsidies,			
_	housing subsidies, food stamps, spouse and dependent children benefits, past job retirement benefits and any other source of				
benefits, past job retirement benefits and any other source of income. Clients are provided information and assistance about					
	-	A, housing programs, VA programs, etc.,			
1 *	ng on the person				
асренаг	Comments:	3 benefits			
	Comments.				
	Sources of				
	Information:				
Rec	commendations:				
2. Disc	losure				
Employ	ment specialists 1	provide clients with accurate information	Rating = out of 3		
		g their choices to make an informed			
	decision regarding what is revealed to the employer about having				
	a disability.				
_ a amul	a disability.				

✓ if applicable	Employment specialists do not require all clients to disclose their psychiatric		
	disability at the work site in order to receive services		
✓ if applicable	Employment specialists offer to discuss with clients the possible costs and benefits		
applicate	(pros and cons) of disclosure at the work site in advance of clients disclosing at the		
	work site. Employment specialists describe how disclosure relates to requesting		
	accommodations and the employment specialist's role communicating with the		
	employer.		
✓ if applicable	Employment specialists discuss specific information to be disclosed (e.g., disclose		
иррисиви	receiving mental health treatment, or presence of a psychiatric disability, or		
	difficulty with anxiety, etc.) and offers examples of what could be said to		
	employers.		
✓ if applicable	Employment specialists discuss disclosure on more than one occasion (e.g., if		
иррисиви	clients have not found employment after 2 months or if clients report difficulties on		
	the job).		
	Comments:		
	Sources of		
	Information:		
Rec	ommendations:		

3. Ongoing, work-based vocational assessment

Initial vocational assessm	Rating =	out of 2	
updated with information			
jobs. A vocational profil			
preferences, experiences,	skills, current adjustment, strengths,		
personal contacts, etc. is			
updated with each new job experience. Aims at problem solving			
using environmental asse	essments and consideration of reasonable		
accommodations. Source	accommodations. Sources of information include client,		
treatment team, clinical records, and with the client's permission,			
from family members and previous employers.			
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			

4. Rapid search for competitive job.

Initial employment asses	Rating = out of 4		
contact by the client or the employment specialist about a			
competitive job occurs within 30 days (one month) after program			
entry.			
Comments:			
G C			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
5. Individualized job s	earch		
Employment specialists	make employer contacts are aimed at	Rating = out of 2	
	a based on clients' preferences (relating	S	
0 0 0	ys and their personal goals) and needs		
	bility, symptomatology, health, etc.)		
rather than the job marke	et (i.e., those jobs that are readily		
available). An individua	available). An individualized job search plan is developed and		
updated with information	from the vocational assessment/profile		
form and new job/educat	-		
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
110001111101111111111111111111111111111			
6. Job development-Fr	requent employer contact		
Each employment specia	list makes at least 6 face-to-face	Rating = out of 2	
employer contacts per week on behalf of clients looking for work.			
(Rate for each then calculate average and use the closest scale			
point.) An employer contact is counted even when an			
employment specialist meets an employer twice in one week, and			
when the client is present or not present. Client specific and			
generic contacts are inclu	ided. Employment specialists use a		
weekly tracking form to document employer contacts and the			
form is reviewed by the s	supervisor on a weekly basis.		
Comments:			
Sources of			

Information:		
Recommendations:		
7. Job development-Quality	of employer contact	
Employment specialists build r	elationships with employers	Rating = out of 3
through multiple visits in perso	n that are planned to learn the	
needs of the employer, convey what the SE program offers to the		
employer, and describe client's	strengths that are a good match	
for the employer.		
Comments:		
Sources of		
Information:		
Recommendations:		
8. Diversity of jobs develope	d.	
Employment specialists assist of	clients in obtaining different types	Rating = out of 3
of jobs.		
Comments:		
Sources of		
Information:		
Recommendations:		
Recommendations.		
9. Diversity of employers.		
Employment specialists assist of	clients in obtaining jobs with	Rating = out of 3
different employers.		
Comments:		
Sources of		
Information:		
Recommendations:		
10. Competitive jobs.		
Employment specialists provide	e competitive jobs options that	Rating = out of 2
have permanent status rather th	an temporary or time-limited	

status, (e.g., transitional	employment positions). Competitive		
jobs pay at least minimu	m wage, are jobs that anyone can apply		
for and are not set aside for people with disabilities. (Seasonal			
jobs and jobs from temporal	orary agencies that other community		
members use are counted			
	,		
Comments:		<u> </u>	
G G			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
11. Individualized follo	w-along supports		
	types of support for working a job that	Doting - out of 2	
		Rating = out of 3	
	nt preferences, work history, needs, etc.		
	a variety of people including treatment		
, , ,	ication changes, social skills training,		
	friends, co-workers (i.e., natural		
	supports) and employment specialist. Employment specialist also		
	ort (e.g., educational information, job		
accommodations) at clie	ent's request. Employment specialists		
offer help with career de	velopment, i.e., assistance with		
education, a more desiral	ble job, or more preferred job duties.		
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
12. Follow-along suppo	rts – Time unlimited		
1	have face-to-face contact within 1 week	Rating = out of 3	
before starting a job, with	before starting a job, within 3 days after starting a job, weekly for		
the first month, and at le	ast monthly for a year or more, on		
average, after working st	eadily and desired by clients. Clients are		
transitioned to step dowr	job supports from a mental health		
_	employment. Employment specialists		
	lays of learning about a job loss.		
Comments:		<u> </u>	

Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
13. Community-based s	ervices		
Employment services suc	ch as engagement, job finding and	Rating = out of 4	
• •	Employment services such as engagement, job finding and follow-along supports are provided in natural community settings		
by all employment specia			
	ekly scheduled work hours then		
=	use the closest scale point.).		
Comments:	was the crossest search points).		
Commons			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			
14. Assertive engagement	nt and outreach by integrated team.		
		T	
	t based on missed appointments or fixed	Rating = out of 5	
_	time limits. Systematic documentation of outreach attempts.		
Engagement and outreach attempts made by integrated team			
members. Multiple home/community visits. Coordinated visits			
by employment specialist with integrated team member. Connect			
with family, when applicable. Once it is clear that the client no			
longer wants to work or continue in SE services, the team stops			
outreach.			
Comments:			
Sources of			
Information:			
Recommendations:			

	<u>Staffing</u>		
#	Item	Score	
1.	Caseload size		
2.	Employment services staff		
3.	Vocational generalists		
<u>Organization</u>			
#	Item	Score	
1.	Integration of rehabilitation with mental health thru team assignment		
2.	Integration of rehabilitation with mental health thru frequent team member contact		
3.	Collaboration between employment specialists and Vocational Rehabilitation		
4.	Vocational unit		
5.	Role of employment supervisor		
6.	Zero exclusion criteria		
7.	Agency focus on employment		
8.	Executive team support for SE		
	Services	l	
#	Item	Score	
1.	Work incentives planning		
2.	Disclosure		
3.	Ongoing, work-based vocational assessment		
4.	Rapid job search for competitive job		
5.	Individualized job search		
6.	Job development—Frequent employer contact		
7.	Job development—Quality of employer contact		
8.	Diversity of job types		
9.	Diversity of employers		
10.	Competitive jobs held		
11.	Individualized follow-along supports		
12.	Time unlimited follow-along supports		
13.	Community-based services		
14.	Assertive engagement and outreach by integrated treatment team		
	Total:		

Score Range	Fidelity Level
115 – 125	Exemplary Fidelity
100 - 114	Good Fidelity
74 – 99	Fair Fidelity
73 and below	Not Supported Employment